

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 1456 of 2016

Date of decision :26.02.2016

Subhash Chand Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Rajesh K. Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the learned District Judge while deciding the application under Section 24 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 has made some observations with respect to the findings of the Debt Recovery Tribunal (for short 'DRT'), which will prejudice the rights of the petitioner in the criminal trial. He further pleaded that the present petition may be dismissed as withdrawn by observing that the said observations of the learned District Judge will not cause any prejudice to the rights of the petitioner in the criminal trial.

Dismissed as withdrawn. It is clarified that any observation made by the learned District Judge in the impugned order dated 19.02.2016 with respect to the validity of the mortgage deed by the DRT will not influence the mind of the Court in the criminal trial.

26.02.2016

S.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**