

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11839 of 2003
Date of decision: 27.09.2016**

Nirmala Devi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mrs. Kirana Bala Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.S. Bhandal, Advocate for
Mr. Harminder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that since petitioner Smt. Nirmala Devi had expired in the year 2011 and despite her best efforts having been made to contact her legal representatives, nobody is coming forward to pursue the present writ petition, let the writ petition be dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present writ petition is ordered to be dismissed for want of prosecution.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

27.09.2016

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No