

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
217

*C.M. No. 16005-CII of 2015 &
C.R. No. 1321 of 2012 (O&M)*

Date of decision: March 30, 2016

M/s Narain Tourist Company

...PETITIONER

Versus

Karnail Singh and another

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. S.S.Sarwara, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the possession has been taken by the respondents-landlord through due process of law, which fact is not disputed by the counsel for the respondents-landlord.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

March 30, 2016

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**