

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R No. 1432 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 25, 2016

Leela Rani

..... Petitioner

v.

Harnek Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Gagandeep Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below evicting the petitioner from the demised premises on the grounds of non-payment of rent, and the premises having been rendered unfit and unsafe for human habitation.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the respondent was not able to prove his ownership and, therefore, the eviction petition was not maintainable.

The Appellate Authority noticed that the respondent had placed on record sale deed of the property in dispute in his favour. It further noticed that the witness of the petitioner-tenant viz RW2 had admitted that

the original owner had sold the demised premises. It was in these circumstances that the Appellate Authority held that the ownership was not in dispute. I find no reason to take a different view.

No other point has been raised or pleaded in the petition. Consequently, this petition is devoid of merit and is dismissed.

February 25, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE