

245.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1181 of 2014

Date of decision: 12.01.2016

Gurdeep Kaur

... Petitioner

versus

Shinderpal Kaur alias Shinder Kaur and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Swaran Singh Tiwana, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The entire amendment of what is sought need not be brought and the plaintiff will only bring a legal bar to the suit as claimed by him, namely, that the suit of the plaintiff is barred under Order 2 Rule 2 CPC. According to the counsel, there will be no evidence necessary and all the documents have already been filed. Having regard to this assertion, I do not think there is any need for the defendant to be allowed to have the benefit of making several averments in the suit by means of additional pleadings which are

objected by the plaintiff. The amendment is restricted only to the expression of the suit being barred under Order 2 Rule 2 read with Section 11 CPC.

2. Civil revision is disposed of as above.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

12.01.2016
sanjeev