

115.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1419 of 2016

Date of decision: 25.02.2016

Santra Devi

... Petitioner

versus

Krishan Kumar and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. It is a judicial waste of time for a party to arrive before this court to complain that the statement had not been filed and the defence was struck off. If the defence was struck off and the defendant had a justification for not filing the statement within time, the procedure would be to approach the very same court, file an application setting out the reasons why the statement could not be filed along with the written statement and if such a statement is filed, the court will consider the justification given of either to accept the written statement or to decline the same. It has been held

after all that the time granted under Order 8 Rule 1 CPC is not mandatory but it is directory.

2. Revision petition is dismissed but with the above observations.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

25.02.2016
sanjeev