

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1429 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 5, 2016

Mohinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Raghubir Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate,
for the respondents.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the revision has become infructuous since the claim for apportionment under Section 30 of the Land Acquisition Act was disposed of by the Reference Court on 27.2.2015, finding the respondents to be entitled to the whole of the amounts. The revision has since become infructuous and is disposed of as such. It will be open to the petitioner to challenge the correctness of the order of the Reference Court in the reference under Section 30 of the Act and seek for appropriate order from the appellate court.

April 5, 2016
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

