

104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1390-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.02.2016

Pappu Singh @ Ashok Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

Trivender Tripathi

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the concurrent orders of eviction passed against the petitioner.

Learned counsel has argued that the only dispute is regarding the ownership of the property and in the rent petition the plea taken by the petitioner was that he was the owner of the premises and in this regard a civil suit for declaration dated 07.06.2012 is pending and the petitioner would be satisfied, if a direction is issued that the findings recorded in this petition would not be binding on the Civil Court.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed with the observation that the findings on the issue of title recorded by the authorities under the Rent Act would not be binding on

the Civil Court in deciding the civil suit filed by the petitioner.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

February 27, 2016
Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE