

107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1386-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 01.04.2016

Kashetriya Punjab Khadi Mandal, Khara

..... Petitioner

versus

Kanchan Lata and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Puneeta Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohinder Nain, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

On 23.02.2016 the following order was passed :-

“This petition has been filed against the concurrent orders of eviction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that she would not press this petition on merits and states that the petitioner is running a commercial premises and in case sufficient time is granted to relocate, the petitioner would not press the petition on merits.

Notice of motion for 09.03.2016.

Let the respondents be served through their counsel in the Executing Court by way of dasti process.

*To be shown in the urgent list.
In the meantime, dispossession of the petitioner shall remain stayed.”*

Today on instructions from his client the learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 31.12.2016 without forcing the respondent to file an execution petition and will continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month on contractual rate and pay the other admissible charges regularly. He further undertakes to pay arrears of rent, if any, within a period of one month from today.

Learned counsel for the respondent after taking instructions has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioner will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court within one month, failing which, this revision should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted this condition.

In the circumstances, the revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 01, 2016
Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE