

**376 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-2411-2004 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.05.2016**

Smt. Jasroop Kaur

..... Petitioner

versus

Prem Pal Sapra and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Alok Jagga, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the order of the Appellate Authority reversing that of the Rent Controller and thereby dismissing the ejectment petition filed by the petitioner.

After arguing for sometime learned counsel for the respondents states that since the respondents are using the premises in dispute for a commercial purpose they will not press this revision on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 31.05.2017 without forcing the petitioner-landlady to file an execution petition and will continue to pay the monthly

contractual rent in advance by the 7th of every month, and pay the other admissible charges regularly. They further undertake to pay upto date arrears of rent, if any, within a period of one month from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, has also accepted this offer on the condition that the respondents will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Appellate Court within one month, with the condition that in the event of any default, this petition would be deemed to be allowed.

Learned counsel for the respondents has accepted this condition.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 12, 2016
Pooja sharma-I