

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.1210 of 2012
Date of Decision.12.07.2016**

Vishal Malhotra son of Vimal Kumar MalhotraPetitioner

Vs.

Raj KumarRespondent

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The decree qua arrears of rent is to the tune of ₹21,624/- with interest @6% per annum. As per the amended provisions of Civil Procedure Code, no appeal lies. The revision petition against the decree is not maintainable and the party cannot be permitted to circumvent the statutory provisions to file the revision petition.

There is no ground for interference. In view of the aforementioned, the revision petition is not maintainable and accordingly, dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

July 12, 2016
Pankaj*