

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No. 13 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.01.2016

Mrs.Jashanjit Kaur Sidhu

.....Petitioner

versus

Mrs.Amarjit Kaur Gill and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Tewari

Present : Mr. Ashwani Sharma , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kanwal Preet Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.1-caveator.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Ajay Tewari, J. (Oral):

This petition has been filed against concurrent orders of eviction passed against the petitioner.

With the intervention of learned counsel the matter has been amicably resolved and it has been agreed that the petitioner-tenant shall vacate the premises on or before 30.04.2016 and notwithstanding her claim that the entire cost has to be borne by her husband she will pay the electricity charges for the period from today till 30.04.2016. This has been accepted by learned counsel for respondent No.1 who has also conceded that the petitioner shall not be

liable to pay any rent from 01.01.2016 to 30.04.2016..

Resultantly this petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Let both the sides file the necessary undertaking within one month before the Rent Controller. In case the petitioner does not file the undertaking this petition would be dismissed. In case respondent No.1 does not file the undertaking the petition would be admitted and there would be stay of dispossession.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

January 08, 2016
sunita