

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
101**

C.R. No. 103 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: June 01, 2016

Dharampal

...PETITIONER

Versus

Radhey Shyam

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Bhoop Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite efforts made by him, he has not been able to contact the legal heirs of the petitioner as the petitioner has expired and, therefore, he is not in a position to confirm the statement, as has been made by the counsel for the respondent on the last date of hearing i.e. 10.05.2016 as to whether the petitioner-tenant had handed over the vacant possession to the respondent-landlord.

Counsel for the respondent reiterates that the possession has been handed over to the respondent-landlord by the legal heirs of the petitioner-Dharampal on 25.06.2015.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the legal heirs of the petitioner to move an appropriate application, if they so desire, for revival of the revision petition.

June 01, 2016

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**