

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.1281 of 2016
Date of Decision.19.02.2016**

Pritam Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Lal Singh (deceased) through his LR

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. N.K. Suneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner's contention is that a compromise/receipt filed in the Executing Court was rejected even without affording any opportunity to let in evidence in that regard. I dispense with notice to the respondent and direct the Executing Court to permit the petitioner to give evidence as regards the compromise/receipt and render an adjudication on the evidence given.

2. The impugned order is set aside and the matter is remitted to the Executing Court for consideration in the light of directions made above. The issue of whether arrest will be made or not will be taken subsequent to the adjudication as directed above.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2016
Pankaj*