

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1266 of 2016

Date of Decision.19.02.2016

Darbara Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

M/s Banwari lal Raja Ram Commission Agents, Fazilka

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Aseem Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner's grievance is that for recovery of ₹32,260/- with interest he is putting one acre of land for auction. According to him, the property will be worth ₹30 lacs and the sale warrant does not describe the judgment debtor's valuation. I direct the Court to specify in the sale warrant the valuation given by the judgment debtor at ₹30 lacs and proceed with further process in execution. If the property is also capable of being drawn into lots and a lot will answer the claim of the decree holder, the same be considered before the property is put to auction.

2. I dispense with notice to the respondents and dispose of the revision petition with the above direction.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2016
Pankaj*