

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1259 of 2016

Date of Decision.18.02.2016

Babita wife of Gajraj Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Ram Kishan and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has brought upon herself a needless exercise by having to file the application for production of secondary evidence in spite of several decisions from this Court and there is no need for such application. If the petitioner can bring out any circumstances under Section 65 of the Indian Evidence Act for production of secondary evidence, it is possible for her to do so even without filing an application.

2. I dispense with notice to the respondents and direct the document to be received in evidence and the Court below will dispose of the case in accordance with law. The revision petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 18, 2016
Pankaj*