

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11035 of 1988

Date of Decision:-1.2.2016.

Indu Mahajan

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Piyush Kant Jain and Mr. Viren Jain, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Additional A.G. Punjab.

Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that identical controversy has been decided by this Court vide order dated 12.10.2015 passed in CWP No.11912 of 2014 **(Daljit Kaur Versus State of Punjab and others)** and other connected cases.

The instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms. Needless to say that if the Improvement Trust accepts the petitioner's claim, the amount deposited under the original allotment would also be adjusted.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

February 1, 2016.

sandeep sethi