

129.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1236 of 2015

Date of decision:04.02.2016

Vikram Sharma

... Petitioner

versus

Sh. Sushil Jain

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sethi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. It is an inconsequential amendment sought by the defendant which has been declined. The defendant who is described as Vikram Sharma, Proprietor of Mehfil Confectionary wanted the reference to Mehfil Confectionary to be amended as Mehfil Bakers and General Store. The same is ordered to be amended, for, it cannot come in the way of adjudication on merits in any sense.

2. Revision petition is allowed. Amendment ordered as prayed for.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

04.02.2016

sanjeev