

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
10:24
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 1215 of 2016
Date of decision : February 17, 2016

Deepak Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Vandana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the revision petition in order to enable him to challenge order dated 8.1.2014 and give explanation of not filing the revision petition with promptitude.

In view of the aforementioned, the revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 17, 2016
archana