

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No.22129-CII of 2016 and
C.R. No.1205 of 2016
Date of Decision.03.11.2016**

Budh Singh	Vs	Petitioner
Buta Singh and others		Respondents

Present: Mr. Rajinder Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Malkeet Singh, Advocate
for applicant-respondent No.2.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Notice in the application.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Singla, Advocate accepts notice for the
petitioner.

Mr. Malkeet Singh, learned counsel for applicant-respondent
No.2 relies upon the order dated 05.08.2016 (Annexure A1) to contend that
the civil suit in which the interim order has been passed, has itself been
dismissed as withdrawn. Since the main suit itself has been dismissed as
withdrawn, nothing survives for consideration in the present revision
petition and seeks dismissal of the same as having become infructuous by
way of application bearing No.22129-CII of 2016.

In view of the aforementioned fact, the application is allowed
and the revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

November 03, 2016
Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No