

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1203 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.05.2016

Archana

... Petitioner

versus

Jagdeep Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Dr. G.K. S. Taank, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J. (ORAL)

The revision petition is against the order having two grievances, one, the Court has disallowed a prayer for DNA test for the child to be performed to assess the paternity of her husband as the father of the child and two, the Court has not allowed the wife the opportunity to give her own evidence. Before much of the arguments got underway, the counsel for the respondent pleads that his client accepts the paternity of the child and I record the statement. I, therefore, hold the prayer for DNA as unnecessary.

It is a matrimonial proceeding where the wife would surely be required to give her own version of statement made by the husband regarding alleged cruelty and I grant her the benefit of such evidence. The order that denies the right is modified and I give her the benefit of evidence and her witnesses on the dates which the trial Court may fix.

The impugned order is modified and the revision petition is ordered on the above terms.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

10.05.2016

kv