

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1195 of 2016

Date of Decision.17.02.2016

Ajay and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Silver Line Builders Pvt. Ltd. and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners who wanted to assail judgment of the trial Court that the valuation of court fee at the appellate court shall not be the same as it was already done in the trial Court. The contention is that the suit property is ancestral and the agreement of sale will not bind their interest. In my view, it will be futile to argue that the property is ancestral and they were not parties to the agreement and that therefore, there is no need to set it aside. If the decree for specific performance is assailed before the Appellate court, it is bound to be valued in the very same manner that the plaintiff had made valuation. There is no defect in the order directing the petitioners-appellants to pay the court fee in the manner it was assessed at the trial Court.

2. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 17, 2016

Pankaj*