

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1189 of 2016

Date of Decision.17.02.2016

Rajmal son of Nihala

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Nafe Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no justification for the petitioner to arrive to this Court to complain that his side has been closed. It shall be open for the petitioner to approach the very same Court and seek for reopening of the case setting out reasons as to why he could not present at the time when the case was posted for evidence.

2. Reserving to the petitioner liberty to approach the very same Court, the revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 17, 2016
Pankaj*