

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1175 of 2016
Date of decision : February 16, 2016

Darshan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ajit Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 4.1.2016 whereby application for granting liberty to lead evidence as there is already an order dated 26.3.2014 that the record had been burnt in fire incident has been dismissed.

It has been submitted that as per direction of this Court, the matter was directed to be heard afresh, in the absence of record of the court below, therefore liberty was sought for leading evidence.

In view of the aforementioned facts, It is directed that the trial court shall take on record the documents which are stated to be in the custody of the petitioners for adjudication of the controversy stated to be pending. In case, the other party despite issuance of direction of this Court does not produce any document,

liberty is granted to the parties to lead evidence afresh.

With the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside. The revision petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 16, 2016
archana