

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1169 of 2016

Date of Decision : 16.02.2016

Dr. S.L. Arora

..... Petitioner

Versus

Dr. S.M. Nehra

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Anuj Raura, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed for modification of the order 13.11.2015 whereby the mesne profits of Rs.15,000/- p.m. has been fixed during the pendency of appeal filed by the respondent-tenant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-landlord states that the real anxiety of every landlord in such cases is the expeditious disposal of the case and in case in the present case the order is passed for expeditious disposal of the appeal filed by the respondent-tenant within any reasonable time, he would not press this revision.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, it is directed that the appeal be decided by the Appellate Authority on or before

C.R. No.1169 of 2016

::2::

15.06.2016.

Revision petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

February 16, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**