

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1114 of 2016

Date of Decision.15.02.2016

Dharambir

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Subhash and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. An opportunity had been given to the defendant already by this Court allowing for the written statement to be filed and opportunity given to the defendant to examine the plaintiff and the witnesses which had been closed. Accordingly, the Court below has allowed for the writtten statement to be filed and has also given the defendant the opportunity to cross-examine the plaintiff's witnesses. After the conclusion of the witnesses, the plaintiff has produced additional evidence and the defendant has aggrieved that the order already passed by this Court did not contemplate examination of further witnesses by the plaintiff. If after the cross-examination of the witnesses of the plaintiff, there is any issue that has arisen and that would require further evidence to be given, this order did not surely foreclose such an occasion for the plaintiff to produce further evidence. If, however, additional evidence has, therefore, been given by the plaintiff and the

Court has allowed for such an exercise, I will find no illegality in such order for interference in the revision petition.

2. The revision petition is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

February 15, 2016
Pankaj*