

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1104 of 2016

Date of decision: 12.02.2016

Prem Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Roshan Lal and others

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar Sood, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

K.KANNAN, J. (ORAL)

1. The plaintiff, who claims to be tenant under first defendant- Roshan Lal has filed a suit against his landlord and two other persons for injunction. The plaintiff wants to bring an amendment to introduce yet another person stating late Raj Narain to be the owner of the property. The relief of the injunction is sought on a plea that the first defendant is not landlord and that he should be protected from any disturbance.

2. In my view, impleadment of another person as the owner would prolong the conclusion of the trial. The Court has declined the amendment and I find no error for interference.

3. The revision petition is dismissed.

12.02.2016

Bhumika

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**