

CR-1095-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-1095-2016

Date of Decision: 12.02.2016

Sukhdev Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Darab Singh and others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Instant civil revision has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 15.01.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh , U.T. whereby evidence of the petitioner-plaintiff has been closed by court order.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

It is the case of the petitioner that his evidence has been closed by the Court without giving opportunity for adducing further evidence. There is necessity for further evidence to get the only evidence

CR-1095-2016

of the Registrar of the Registration Authority who will disclose the present status of the property in dispute.

Perusal of impugned order dated 15.01.2016 reveals that the petitioner/plaintiffs have already availed 19 effective opportunities including last opportunity for concluding their evidence. Issues were framed on 13.05.2014 and since then the case remained adjourned for plaintiffs' evidence. It seems that plaintiffs want to pursue the case at their own speed and choice. They did not lead any evidence for last three dates and sought time for leading evidence that too, as per impugned order, without knowing as to which witness they want to examine.

In view of above, I do not find any illegality or perversity in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

12.02.2016
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge