IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1093 of 2016
Date of Decision.12.02.2016

Rajesh Kumar and others .....Petitioners

Vs.

Parmjot and another .....Respondents

Present: Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is dismissed as requiring no intervention, for the Court has observed that practice of examining any witness even before the party is put, was only after this Court passed the order. In this case, the witnesses have all been examined before the order passed by this Court on 23.03.2015 and the affidavit of the defendant was presented in January, 2015 again the time which was before the passing of order by this Court.

2. The order passed already is maintained. The revision petition is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

February 12, 2016
Pankaj*

PANKAJ KUMAR
2016.02.15 12:05
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document