

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No.1088 of 2016

Date of decision: 12.02.2016

Rajni

..... Petitioner.

Versus

Rajeev Kumar and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The present civil revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India has been preferred against the order dated 06.01.2016 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Jhajjar, vide which the application filed by the petitioner to lead her evidence has been dismissed.

2. I have gone through the paper book, which shows that the petitioner was proceeded against ex-parte. The application moved by the petitioner under Order IX Rule 7 Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short 'CPC') was dismissed after hearing both the parties, vide order dated 02.07.2015. It appears that thereafter, she has joined the proceedings. But,

it is an admitted fact that the petitioner has not filed any written statement. So, she has no right to lead any evidence on her behalf.

3. Thus, I do not find any illegality in the impugned order calling for any interference by this Court while exercising the supervisory powers under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

4. Resultantly, the present revision petition having, no merits, is hereby dismissed.

12.02.2016

S.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**