

229.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1074 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision:16.03.2016

Dharam Pal

... Petitioner

versus

Wasawa Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Ms. Anupam, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

A decree holder complained of disobedience of decree for injunction by contending that the defendant was attempting to cut trees. The Executing Court was not convinced that the so-called attempt could constitute as disobedience of the decree. I find no error for interference in revision. Revision petition is dismissed. This will not bar the petitioner from approaching the court again if the attempt fructifies into an act of disobedience.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

16.03.2016

sanjeev