

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1045 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 11,2016

Amarjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

VS.

Puran Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

K.KANNAN,J(Oral)

1. The plaintiff who sought for a declaration that the sales made by the father and the subsequent alienees are not valid has also sought for the relief of possession. The court has held that the plaint will have to be valued under Section 7 Clause (v) of the Court Fee Act and ad valorem court fee is to be paid on the valuation adopted. I state for the sake of clarity that the valuation shall be in the manner contemplated under the Court Fee Act itself, depending on the nature of property, as either agricultural or non- agricultural property. There is no interference called for.

2. The Revision petition is dismissed.

FEBRUARY 11, 2016

Rajeev

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**