

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.104 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision:02.03.2016**

Ramesh Kumar	...	Petitioner
	versus	
Harbhajan Singh and others		Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Jagtar Kureel, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

K.Kannan, J.

Respondent No.1 was served through son and respondent No.3 was served through father. Service deemed sufficient.

The revision is disposed of with the consent of the parties.

The defendant who said that agreement of sale as having been executed by Rohit Madhok, general power of attorney of Joginder Singh and Dharam Pal through a document dated 2.11.2011 sought for an amendment to plead for a subsequent event of a sale as having been effected through a document dated 28.7.2014. The amendment sought cannot create any prejudice, for the subsequent event could not have pleaded earlier. Subsequent event itself is lis pendens and, therefore, it would be subject to whatever right which he held on the date of suit under the agreement to sell. I set aside the order and permit the amendment to be brought. Civil Revision is allowed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

02.03.2016
renu