

119.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1031 of 2016

Date of decision:11.02.2016

Smt. Renu Arora

... Petitioner

versus

Shri Rajiv Arora and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. In a suit relating to some right in the immovable property, the defendant wanted to file an application for amendment when her evidence is going on about some incidents of violence which the husband practised on her. The court has declined it. I will not find any prejudice as having resulted where the subsequent event of assault will have no bearing for considering the civil rights of party in respect of immovable property.

2. The order is maintained and the revision petition is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

11.02.2016

sanjeev