

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.102 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.08.01.2016**

M/s Lakshmi Energy & Foods Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Vs.

PUNSUP and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurminder Singh, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate
for the caveators-respondents.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate is on caveat assisted by the senior counsel Sh. Gurminder Singh. Counsel on both sides agree that an opportunity to cross-examine can be given to the petitioner provided that he concludes the cross-examination on the same day. The counsel Sh. Bansal states that he will conclude it on the day when it is posted and he will not make any issue of personal inconvenience or any other inconvenience. The counsel does not press his objection about the venue of arbitration.

2. With these observations, the order already passed by the Arbitrator closing the evidence is modified and the revision petition is disposed of.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 08, 2016
Pankaj*