

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

COCP No.798 of 2014

Date of Decision: September 15, 2016

Jhagru Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ram Niwas and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Sushil Gautam, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Compliance/status report in the form of an affidavit of T.L. Satyaprakash, IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Gurgaon-respondent No.3 has been filed in Court. Along with the said compliance report, an *aks shajra* has also been attached, according to which the encroachment at the site, as has been pointed out by the Local Commissioner in his report dated 15.01.2016 as appointed by this Court, has been removed and at the spot, the passage is clear 9 feet. Reliance has been placed upon the *aks shajra*, copy whereof has been appended along with the compliance report.

It is apparent from the *aks shajra* that at the time of consolidation, the passage is 9 feet at the spot between the house of the petitioner and Maan Singh.

Counsel for the petitioner states that there has been interpolation in the revenue records by the respondents, which fact is vehemently denied by the counsel for the State, on instructions from Shri Ram Kumar, Assistant Consolidation Officer.

In view of the above, this Court is satisfied that the order passed by this Court has been duly complied with.

The present contempt petition is disposed of.

It may be added here that it shall be the responsibility of respondents-authorities to ensure that there is no re-occurrence of encroachment by Maan Singh or others on the passage.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

September 15, 2016

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No