

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 935 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.04.2016

Nirmal Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vinay Bablani and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL.

Present: Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate for
Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Issar, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 to 4.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

As in para-3 of the petition, it is admitted that the petitioner is in litigation with respondent No.5 who has allegedly encroached upon the public property, the present contempt petition in terms of the order dated October 4, 2008, passed in CWP No. 4886 of 2003 cannot be entertained, as it cannot be termed in public interest.

However, as stated by counsel for respondents No. 1 to 4, the proceedings for eviction against respondent No.5, who has allegedly encroached upon the public property have already been initiated, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to conclude those proceedings expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from the next date of hearing.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

28.04.2016

reema