

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CA No.16 of 2016 In/and
CP No.156 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.02.2016

M/s Carewell Glass & Ampoules Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Ind-Swift Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anand Chhibbar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Gaurav Mankotia, Advocate for the applicant/respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Vide CA No.16 of 2016, the respondent-company has placed on record a communication from the Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction (for short, 'the BIFR') to the respondent-company, stating that the reference filed by the respondent-company has been registered as Case No.103 of 2015.

In view of the aforesaid development and the fact that in terms of Section 22 of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985, the proceedings against the respondent-company cannot continue, the petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to get the same revived in case after the proceedings before the BIFR are concluded, the claim of the petitioner is not settled.

10.02.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**