

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

COCN No. 823 of 2016

Date of Decision: December 16, 2016

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Bajinder Singh & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Kanwardeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the respondents has referred to the order dated 22.03.2016 passed by the Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as has been projected by him in his representation dated 03.09.2014 which was directed by the Writ Court vide order dated 11.12.2014 to be decided. In case the petitioner is aggrieved of the said decision of the Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula, he may avail of his remedy, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

December 16, 2016

Harish

**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**