

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

228

COCF No.78 of 2016

Date of Decision: July 25, 2016

Kavita Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

Sukhjinder Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Vikram, Advocate
for Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Bunker, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

In the light of the fact that the learned Single Judge has disposed of the writ petition after the respondents had preferred a Letters Patent Appeal and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has also preferred a Letters Patent Appeal against the order passed by the learned Single Judge in the writ petition, the present contempt petition does not survive as of now. The same is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to revive the contempt petition in case the order passed by the learned Single Judge is set aside and the cause of action survives.

Rule discharged.

July 25, 2016

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No