

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.772 of 2015
Date of Decision: 15.02.2016

Tilak Raj

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Shri Vishavjit Khanna and another

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Suresh Singla, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that all the dues have been paid to the petitioner in terms of the order passed by this Court, which is denied by the petitioner, who has alleged that some components of payment are yet to be made by the respondents.

Be that as it may, the present petition is hereby disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to file an exhaustive representation to the respondents about the payment, which is not alleged to have been paid and in case such a representation is made within a month, the same shall be decided by the respondents, after its receipt, within two months thereafter.

15.02.2016

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE