

COCF No.750 of 2016

Date of Decision: October 25, 2016

Dharam Pal Sharda

.....Petitioner

Versus

S.K. Sandhu

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of the order dated 12.11.2014, passed by this Court in Civil Writ Petition No.7900 of 1999 (*D.P. Sharda Vs. State of Punjab and others*).

Having heard the counsel for the parties and having gone through the pleadings, it is apparent from the order dated 14.10.2015 (Annexure-P3), passed by the Financial Commissioner Co-operation & Principal Secretary Punjab Government, the petitioner has been promoted to the post of Deputy Chief Auditor in the scale of 7880-11600 (with all allowances of scale revisions made from time to time). The non-grant of consequential benefits to the petitioner, thus, cannot be sustained.

Counsel for the respondent on instructions from Sh. Sarabjit Singh, Additional Chief Auditor, states that the consequential benefits, which the petitioner is entitled to as per order dated 14.10.2015 (Annexure

P-3), if any, will be released to the petitioner within a period of six weeks from today. *Qua* the other claims, which have been asserted by the petitioner in the contempt petition, he has already availed of his remedy by filing Civil Writ Petition No.10408 of 2015 (*Dharam Pal Sharda Vs. State of Punjab and others*), which is pending in this Court.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of, in the light of statement of counsel for the respondent, for release of the consequential benefits, if any, to the petitioner within a period of six weeks.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

October 25, 2016

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No