

**Sr. No.219 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 744 of 2016
Date of decision:7.11.2016**

Sham Singh(Retd.) Asstt. LinemanPetitioner

versus

Nitin Kumar Yadav and othersRespondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Praveen Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K.Kaushal, Advocate
for the respondents.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

The only direction issued by this Court was to decide the legal notice of the petitioner by passing speaking order. It was further observed that if any relief sought in the petition, or any part thereof, is found due, the same shall be released to the petitioner within a period of two months thereafter.

Counsel for the respondents has produced a copy of the order dated 6.05.2016 purported to have been passed in terms of order dated 9.02.2015 as per which the service of the petitioner has been counted to 7 years towards pensionary benefits. The petitioner has also sworn an affidavit towards the satisfaction with the order dated 6.05.2016.

In view thereof, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**

7th November, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No