

COCP No. 441 of 2014 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 441 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 25, 2016

Ruhla & another

...Petitioners

Versus

Shakuntla Jakhu & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Ms. Ritu Pathak, Advocate for
Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

CM No.6795-CII of 2015

Rebuttal affidavit of respondent No.3 is taken on record,
subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

COCP No. 441 of 2014

Counsel for the respondents has referred to the communication memo No.303/T.S.K., dated 24.06.2016, which has been received in the office of the Advocate General from the Naib Tehsildar (Sales), Karnal, according to which, the typographical error in the rapat, where it was wrongly mentioned as 27 kanal 16 marla, has been corrected to 27 kanal 4 marla. The copy of rapat No.446 dated 29.04.2016 with the copy of

COCP No. 441 of 2014 (O&M)

allotment slip have been duly corrected and an order dated 05.05.2016 has been passed in this regard, photocopies of which have also been appended alongwith the communication. He, on this basis, contends that the needful has been done and therefore, the contempt petition does not survive as it has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioners accepts the statement as has been made by the counsel for the respondents and she further states that possession has been delivered to the petitioners and they have received the same.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

July 25, 2016

Harish

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**