

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.M. NO.11839 CII OF 2016 &

C.O.C.P. NO.669 OF 2015

DATE OF DECISION: AUGUST 04, 2016

Manjit Kaur Sandhu

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Ms. Shruti Singh, IAS

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

**Present: Mr. S. S. Salar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Samarth Sagar, Advocate,
for the respondent.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.11839 CII of 2016

**Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just
exceptions.**

C.O.C.P. No.669 of 2015

**In compliance with the order passed by this Court, Sh.Ajay
Sood, Chairman, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana, is present in person in
Court.**

**Counsel for the respondent has produced an order dated
03.08.2016 passed by the Chairman, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana,
according to which there was a typographical error, which has resulted in
the demand of ₹31,200/- per sq. yard whereas it should have been ₹15,600/-,
which error has now been corrected and a fresh allotment letter has been**

handed over to the counsel for the petitioner. This satisfies the objection as was raised by counsel for the petitioner and recorded in the order dated 31.05.2016.

Counsel for the petitioner now asserts that the plot should have been given in the same allotment Scheme in which he had applied, to which counsel for the respondent, on instructions from Sh.Ajay Sood, Chairman, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana, states that there is no plot available in the said scheme.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

If the petitioner is aggrieved with the allotment dated 03.08.2016 with regard to the location of the plot, he may avail of his remedy in accordance with law.

August 04, 2016
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No