

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

COCP No.63 of 2016

Date of Decision: September 19, 2016

Indraj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Yash Garg and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court alleging non-compliance of the order passed by this Court on 24.12.2014 in the writ petition preferred by him, wherein directions were issued to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice served by the petitioner upon the respondents within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. The said order has been now complied with and a speaking order passed on 11.03.2016 (Annexure R-4).

Counsel for the petitioner prays for liberty to challenge the said order as the claim of the petitioner has been rejected. He, however, asserts that some costs may be imposed upon the respondents for the inordinate delay.

The Court is of the considered view that the documents indicate that the respondents had been posting the petitioner with the progress of the case as is apparent from Annexures R-1 and R-3.

Petitioner may avail of the remedy in accordance with law.

The contempt petition stands disposed of.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

September 19, 2016

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No