

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**COC-6 of 2016
Decided on 04.10.2016**

Anil Rana

--Petitioner

Vs.

Joseph Emmanuel and another

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. P.K.Kataria, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr.N.K.Setia, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that on 20.5.2016, presence of respondent No.2. was ordered to be procured through bailable warrant. However, before the adjourned date, the respondent filed an application for preponing the date of hearing and the date was postponed from 18.11.2016 to 30.9.2016. On 30.9.2016, the case was adjourned to 04.10.2016. Today, learned counsel for the respondent has stated that needful has been done as the revised mark-sheet has been given to the petitioner as per his correct name.

In view thereof, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

**04.10.2016
rr**

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

Yes/No.

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No