

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.3504 of 2014
Date of Decision: 15.02.2016

Saravjeet Kaur

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Balraj Singh and another

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.J.S. Rozera, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.R.K.S. Brar, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Respondent No.2 has filed an affidavit dated 12.2.2016 in which it is averred that since he could not understand the contents of the order passed by this Court properly, therefore, wrote a letter Annexure P-2. He has however, tendered unconditional and unqualified apology and stated that he can never think to disobey the order of this Court intentionally or otherwise.

Apology tendered by respondent No.2 is hereby accepted.

In view of the above, the present petition has become infructuous. However, respondent No.2 shall pay a sum of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner as cost by way of cheque/demand draft within 30 days. In case the said amount is not paid to the petitioner, this petition shall be listed again for initiating contempt proceedings against respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, has also submitted that because of the letter Annexure P-2, one FIR No.456 dated 17.11.2014 under Sections 402, 420, 406, 409, 466, 468, 171 and 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Butana, Karnal has been registered against the petitioner though according to respondent No.2, he had misread the order of the Court. If that is so, the petitioner has a remedy to file a petition for quashing of the said FIR, in accordance with law.

15.02.2016

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGEVIVEK PAHWA
2016.02.15 16:07
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh