

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

COCN No. 516 of 2016

Date of Decision: November 21, 2016

Nameesh Sethi

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajni Manchanda

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Respondent in person with
Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the respondent has placed on record the order dated 20.09.2016 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurdaspur, dismissing the application preferred by the respondent under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for grant of maintenance. He, on the basis of this order, submits that the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous as this was the violation which has been pressed into service in the present contempt petition.

Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the said fact and submissions of the counsel for the respondent.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

November 21, 2016
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No