

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

COCP No.345 of 2014

Date of Decision: November 16, 2016

Vikram Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Kumar Rahul

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondent states that the legal notice submitted by the petitioner has been duly considered and decided and a speaking order dated 11.11.2016 passed by the Director of Public Instruction (Secondary Education), Punjab, copy whereof has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner prays for liberty to take appropriate steps in accordance with law including challenging the said order in appropriate proceedings.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

November 16, 2016
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No